

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------|------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/625,216 | | 07/22/2003 | Terrance J. Dishongh | 42P13858C | 8364 |
| 8791 | 7590 | 10/05/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | OFF TAYLOR & | NORRIS, JEREMY C | | |
| 12400 WILS SEVENTH | | DULEVARD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030 | | | | 2841 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/625,216 | DISHONGH ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Jeremy C. Norris | 2841 | | |

| Defere the Filing of an Annual Brief | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | | |
| | Jeremy C. Norris | 2841 | | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>13 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | | |
| · _ | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| b) Merical The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must to | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal of | of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | · | ` | • | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | - | ejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling | | | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). | | • | • | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-57.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the content of the conte | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application i | in condition for allowa | ince because: | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | | | | |
| | | 1150 | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office . PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

SPE 28UI Part of Paper No. 20050929

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not found to be persuasive.